1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 BASKIM HOLDINGS, INC., Case No. 2:16-cy-01898-APG-GWF 5 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION IN 6 v. EXPERT EINHORN 7 TWO M, INC. and OMAR ALDABBAGH, (ECF Nos. 156, 158) 8 Defendants. 9 I deny the defendants' motion in limine to exclude the testimony of the plaintiff's expert 10 Michael Einhorn, Ph.D. ECF Nos. 156, 158. Contrary to the defendants' contention, Dr. 11 Einhorn's anticipated testimony presents more than simple addition. He determined the 12 methodology to calculate the plaintiff's damages, he selected the data to include in the analysis, 13 14 and he performed the calculations. ECF No. 158 at 7:23-24. Those are tasks beyond the normal ken of a layperson and his testimony will help the jury understand and determine facts in issue. 15 Fed. R. Evid. 702(a). Moreover, his testimony is not excludable because the plaintiff's counsel 16 assisted him in gathering the data. As the defendants point out in their motion, Dr. Einhorn "said 17 what components should be in" the spreadsheet of data; he "gave the directive." ECF No. 158 at 18 19 7:23-24. The plaintiff's counsel then gathered that data from the information that had been produced, and provided them to Dr. Einhorn. That assistance from counsel does not disqualify 20 21 Dr. Einhorn from testifying. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendants' motion in limine (ECF Nos. 156, 22 158) is denied. 23 24 DATED this 8th day of June, 2018. 25 26 ANDREW P. GORDON

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE